

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/042,867	POLIKARPUS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kaj K Olsen	1753	

All Participants:

Status of Application: amended

(1) Kaj K Olsen.

(3) _____.

(2) Douglas Fekete.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

45

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted the applicant's representative because claim 45 depended from a canceled claim. The applicant's representative in a phone message gave the examiner permission to change the dependency to claim 41 instead.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)